NOLEGEIN Journal of
Human Resource Management & Development

ISSN: 2581-9461
Volume 6, Issue 2, 2023
DOI (Journal): 10.37591/NJHRMD

http://mbajournals.in/index.php/JoHRMD/index

Review NJHRMD

Effectiveness of Charismatic Leadership in Organizations

G.G. Mathews

Abstract

The objective of this study is to provide a comprehensive assessment of charismatic leadership within enterprises, shedding light on both the progress made and the existing gaps in our understanding of this critical leadership style. To appreciate the growing interest that organizational theorists have in charismatic leadership, one must consider the broader context of global competition. However, it's important to underscore that charismatic leadership remains an essential component for fostering a sense of pride and contentment among an organization's members in their day-to-day work. This is especially true as it empowers followers, by enhancing their self-efficacy and building trust in their leader. This empowerment is most effectively achieved when charismatic leaders employ their expert and referent power bases. The persuasive influence of a charismatic leader is potent as followers perceive the leader to possess the requisite knowledge, skills, and experience. This perception, in turn, enables the leader to successfully guide the ongoing transition and harness the resources that are perceived as essential for realizing the shared vision. The effectiveness of charismatic leadership in organizations is a subject of interest and research in the field of leadership and management. Charismatic leadership is characterized by leaders who inspire and motivate their followers through their personal qualities, vision, and the strength of their convictions. Here's a brief overview of the effectiveness of charismatic leadership in organizations:

Keywords: Charismatic Leadership, Vision, Behaviors, Empowerment and emotional

INTRODUCTION

A crucial part of an organization's formation, survival, expansion, and demise is played by its leadership. The importance of charismatic leadership is discussed numerously in books and articles of Bass (1990) and Yukl (1994) [1]. Researchers researching management and social science have begun to take a sincere interest in understanding charismatic leadership in organizations and how best to use it. The importance of charismatic leadership is observed by social scientists, and they strongly recommend organizations for its effective management. The goal of this study is to chart the field's development during the previous ten years. This research aims to track the evolution of the field during the last 10 years.

We need to examine the wider context of global competitiveness in order to comprehend why organizational theorists' interest in the topic of charismatic leadership has expanded. During the period the organization is attempting to adapt, the process of reinventing charismatic leadership was quite challenging. Organizational insiders and leaders lacked the guts and change management expertise necessary to spearhead significant organizational changes on a big scale. To put it simply, there wasn't enough leadership skill to go around. This is a result of the dearth of strong leaders. A fresh vision has to be developed and targeted. The organization's values, emotional, and

***Author for Correspondence**
G.G. Mathews
E-mail: mathewsgrace1956@gmail.com

Director, Department of Management Studies, Mount Zion Institute of Management, Alappuzha, Kerala, India

Received Date: June 05, 2023
Accepted Date: October 21, 2023
Published Date: November 01, 2023

Citation: G.G. Mathews. Effectiveness of Charismatic Leadership in Organizations. NOLEGEIN Journal of Human Resource Management & Development. 2023; 6(2): 8–14p.

© MBA Journals 2023. All Rights Reserved

spiritual resources are all used by the leader in their operations. dedication and goals by narrowing the developed vision. In contrast, the manager or leader uses the organization's money, raw materials, technology, people's talents, and accessible physical resources. An effective and outstanding manager can see the scenario to ensure that work is completed on time, with high quality, and productively and efficiently Nonetheless, it is still the responsibility of the capable manager or leader to assist the workforce in feeling proud and satisfied of their job and to make meaningful contributions [2,]

Like this, Kotter (1988) would contend that the primary distinction between leadership and was that the former was focused on actions that resulted in "constructive or adoptive change" as opposed to the latter, which aims to create order and uniformity [3]. Leadership concentrated on the organization's long-term problems, while management took care of the immediate problems. Whereas management obtained performance commitment through contractual agreements, leadership obtained it through empowerment [4].

Major Difference between Leadership and Managership

	Managership	**Leadership**
i	participates in daily activities. manages and distributes resources.	Long-term objectives are formulated for reforming the system. Plans strategy and tactics for the organisation.
ii	Exhibits supervisory behaviour. Acts to make others to uphold the organization's acceptable work behavior.	Exhibits leading behaviour. Acts to bring about change in others congruent with long term objectives.
iii	Administers subsystems within the organisations.	Innovates for the entire organisation for an effective output.
iv	inquiries about the appropriate times and ways to participate in normal practice.	Acts when and why to change standard practice in the organisation.
v	acts in accordance with the organization's established culture.	Creates vision and meaning for the organisation and strives to transform culture in the organisation.
vi	Uses transactional influence. encourages adherence to obvious behavior using rewards, sanctions, and formal authority.	Uses transformational influence in an effective manner.
vii	depends on control techniques to ensure that subordinates are doing tasks in an efficient manner.	Induces the change in values, attitudes and the behaviour using personal examples and expertise.
viii	maintains the status quo and provides stability to the organization so that it may operate effectively.	Uses empowering strategies to followers. Internalize values, challenges status quo and creates change.

The Charismatic Leader's Behavior

Too far, leader behaviors have dominated both theoretical development and empirical study on charismatic leadership [5]. A major part of this theoretical growth may be attributed to the research backgrounds. Most of the research have a strong behavioural orientation. The model proposed by Sashkin (1988) under the label of "Visionary Leadership", because it has not been the subject of extreme theory development [6]. There hasn't been much study done on this concept. It does, however, draw attention to how crucial visioning behavior is—a fundamental component of charismatic leadership. Besides visioning behaviour, Sashkin identified five other behaviours such as:

- Key issues by taking unorthodox and innovative acts that attracted people' notice.

© MBA Journals 2023. All Rights Reserved

- Interpersonal communication works well.
- Putting up a trustworthy front.
- Acting with dignity toward oneself and other people.
- Assuming personal danger.

Characteristics of Charismatic Leadership in Behavior

In Table 1 the event that a follower's assessment of the leader's charisma is based on their observation of their behavior, what behavioral traits are in charge of this assessment? These behaviors are interrelated, and the presence and intensity of the characteristics are expressed in varying degrees among different charismatic leaders [7]. This process can be conceptualized in a stage model with the specific stages.

Table 1. A Charismatic Leadership Stage Model Leaders Behavior

	Stage 1	**Stage 2**	**Stage 3**	**Hypothesized Outcomes**
i	Evaluation of status-quo.	Formulation and articulation of organisational goals.	Memo to achieve.	*Organisational or Group Level Outcomes* • High internal cohesion • Low internal conflict • High value congruence
ii	Assignment of environmental resources/constraints and follower needs.	Assessment of environmental opportunities into a strategic vision.	By personal examples; risk taking and counter cultural, empowering and impression management practices.	*Individual Outcomes (Followers)* • In relation for the leader • Trust in the leader • Satisfaction with the leader
iii	Effective articulation. Realization of deficiencies in status-quo.	Effective articulation of inspirational vision. this is highly discrepant from the strategies yet within latitude of its acceptance.	Leader explains objectives Establishes trust with followers, provides examples of how to do things, and inspires them.	*In Relation to Task* • Work group cohesion • High task performance • High level empowerment

Table 2. Distinguishing Attributes of Charismatic and Non-Charismatic Leaders

	Non-Charismatic Leaders	**Charismatic Leaders**
Stage 1 (Evaluation) Maintaining Environmental sensitivity. Relation to status-quo.	Require low need for environmental sensitivity to maintain status-quo. Essentially agrees with the status quo and strives to maintain it effectively.	Require high need for environmental sensitivity to change the status-quo. Essentially opposes status-quo and strives to change it in organisations.
Stage 2 (Vision) Future goals Likableness Articulation	Goals not too discrepant from status-quo. Shared perspective makes him or her likable Weak articulation of goals and motivation level.	Idealized version that is highly discrepant from status-quo. Shared perspective and idealized version make him or her likable and worthy of identification and imitation. Strong and/inspirational articulation of future level and motivation to lead.
Stage 3 (Implication) Behaviour novelty and Trustworthiness. Expertise	Conventional confirming to existing norms of the organisation. Disinterested advocacy in persuasion attempts.	use non-traditional or non-standard methods. Adopt potential advocacy at significant financial and danger to yourself.

© MBA Journals 2023. All Rights Reserved

	Non-Charismatic Leaders	Charismatic Leaders
Influence strategy and powerbase usage	Expert in using available means to achieve goals within the framework of the existing order. Effective use of Position power and personal power (Based on reward and expert power, and taking for a friend who is similar to other)	employing non-traditional methods to surpass the current system. Utilization of one's own strength (Grounded on knowledge, reverence, and appreciation for a singular hero)

Stage 1. Sensitivity to the Environmental Context.

Charismatic leaders are very erotically of the status-quo. Leaders with charismatic qualities typically exhibit heightened awareness of their surroundings, both in terms of social and physical aspects. When a leader fails to assess properly either constraints in the environment or the availability of resources, his strategies may not achieve effective organizational objectives. That leader in turn will be labelled in effective. It is critical for a leader to be able to accurately analyze the resources and environmental limitations required to implement change inside the organization. In Table 2 this case the knowledge, experience, and expertise of the leader become critical for an organization. A charismatic leader must also be perceptive of the skills and emotional requirements of their followers. These are the most important resources for attaining organizational goals. As Kenny and Zacarro (1983) point out, "persons who are consistently crab in the leadership role possess the ability to perceive and predict variations in group situations and pattern their own approaches accordingly. Such leaders are highly competent in reading the needs of their constituencies and altering their behaviors' to respond to their needs more effectively [8].

These evaluations, albeit not a defining characteristic of charismatic leaders. Despite this, they are especially crucial for these leaders since they frequently take big chances by pushing for drastic organizational change. Prior to deciding on a course of action, it is now crucial to assess the environmental resources and limits. When a vision is developed, the Leaders environmental evaluation could lessen that rather than immediately initiating a path of action [9]. He or she gets the area ready and bides their time. Its location, as well as the accessibility of resources for appropriate execution. It's commonly assumed that charm has frequently failed due to an insensitivity to the surroundings.

The capacity of a charismatic leader to see shortcomings in the current situation sets them apart from non-charismatic leaders. This is evident throughout the evaluation phase. Stated differently, they aggressively look for flaws in the status quo that organizations support, either real or imagined. A compelling leader may point to the inability of firms to take advantage of new markets or technology as a tactical or strategic opportunity [10].

In a similar vein, a charismatic businessperson may be better able to identify market demands and appropriately turn them into chances for brand-new goods or services. The charismatic leader may use internal organizational shortcomings as a springboard to push for drastic organizational reform [11, 12].

In times of relative peace, charismatic leaders are crucial in establishing the conditions that require change or magnifying the little ones that already exist. Additionally, charismatic leaders have the ability to foresee future developments and create favorable circumstances for them. On the other hand, context may also be seen as a catalyst, sometimes helping a leader exhibit specific behaviors that serve as the foundation for their charisma [13].

Enthusiastic leaders are continuously highlighting the flaws in the system and how much they detest it. Enthusiastic leaders are continuously highlighting the flaws in the system and how much they detest it. However, the assessment of charismatic traits is based only on the steps taken to effect change inside the organization, not on the result of the change.

© MBA Journals 2023. All Rights Reserved

From the perspective of managing and fostering change or reform need to be distinguished from administrators and supervisors. In an organization, administrators typically take on the role of custodians, accountable for preserving the status quo. They influence others through the power of their positions as sanctioned by the organization. As such, they have little interest in significant organizational change. Supervisors, in their task, social and participative leadership roles, therefore, often act as non-charismatic leaders [14].

The charismatic leaders may also be changing agents at times. Sometimes dynamic leaders may also be changing agents. Although charismatic leaders may advocate change, it is usually instrumental and within the boundaries of the status-quo. However, in order to reach their idealized aims, charismatic leaders look for drastic reforms and alter their followers (instead of leading or pushing them). Therefore, charisma may be seen in both administrative (caretaker) roles and managerial roles that are intended just to gently prod the system [8].

Stage 2. The Vision for Future

Administrators in a company usually assume the position of custodians, responsible for maintaining the status quo. Their aims and the ways in which they express them vary, and they are frequently distinguished by a feeling of strategic vision. Here, "vision" refers to an idealized objective that the organization's leader wants it to accomplish in the future. It is possible to differentiate the nature, formulation, articulation, and methods of accomplishing this objective that the charismatic leader suggests from those supported by other kinds of environmental leaders.

Creating a Vision

The more idealistic or utopian the future objective that the captivating leader promotes, the more it deviates from the current situation. The more the aim deviates from the current state of affairs, the more probable it is that the leader has uncommon vision rather than just a regular goal. A leader poses a challenge and acts as a catalyst for change by giving followers a highly idealized and disparate aim.

The common understanding of the vision and its capacity to meet followers' wants is what distinguishes "likable" leaders from others; this is due to both the perceived resemblance and the ability to meet needs. However, the idealized (and thus disparate) view also paints charismatic leaders as great individuals who the followers should identify with and model after. It is the idealized aspect of the vision that makes them charismatic. Charismatic leaders are not just like other who are generally liked (as popular consensus-seeking people), but similar others who are also distinct because of their idealized vision.

Articulating Vision

Leaders need to have goals and strategies for reaching them in order to be charismatic. These plans and visions need to be able to effectively communicate their goals and action plans. This is required to influence their followers. involves Two separate processes are involved in articulation. These procedures involve articulating the situation and the reason behind the leader's motivation to take the lead. The following are some successful methods that charismatic leaders might express their followers: representing the context [15]:

- The shortcomings and nature of the status quo.
- Anticipated future vision.
- How the future visions, when realized will remove existing deficiencies. Future visions fulfill the hopes of followers.
- The leader’s plan of actions for realizing the vision effectively.

Not only should charismatic leaders vocally convey the current state of affairs, future objectives, and strategies for achieving them, but they must also express why they are guiding their people. Chaotic leaders demonstrate their beliefs, confidence, and commitment to bringing their ideas to life via

© MBA Journals 2023. All Rights Reserved

expressive vocal and nonverbal behaviors. The use of rhetorical terms reflects the speaker's competence, confidence, aggressiveness, and consideration for the requirements of the audience [16]. These same qualities also may be expressed through their dress, their appearance, and their body language. Rhetoric, great energy, perseverance, unusual and dangerous behavior, brave acts, and selflessness are all used by charismatic leaders. These actions are meant to demonstrate and communicate their extreme motivation and zeal, which spread to their followers. These actions are crucial to the impression management of a charismatic leader [17].

Stage 3. Realizing the Goal

Effective leaders always develop and put into practice a sense of confidence in their skills and clearly exhibit the strategies and behaviors necessary to meet the organization's goals. The charismatic leader accomplishes this by fostering trust with unorthodox knowledge, risk-taking, and personal example. Establishing trust between followers and the leader's vision is crucial. Generally speaking, when leaders speak for their opinions with objectivity and show that their followers' needs come before their own, they are seen as trustworthy figures. Leaders that possess these attributes must often make them seem extraordinary in order to be captivating. Charismatic leaders need to show their whole dedication and commitment to a shared purpose, while transforming their care for their followers' needs into a "disinterested and selfless manner" of expression. Charismatic leaders need to show their whole dedication and commitment to a shared purpose, while transforming their care for their followers' needs into a "disinterested and selfless manner" of expression.

Lastly, charismatic leaders need to project expertise. They must be authorities in their spheres of influence and expertise. The idea that a leader possesses charm also hinges on how followers view and accept their leader's "countercultural" and "revolutionary" traits. A leader's countercultural traits can be seen in part in the disparities between their idealized views and behaviors. Above all, charismatic leaders need to interact in non-traditional, non-cultural ways. Consequently, they exhibit creative behavior while guiding their followers toward realization.

CONCLUSION

The sources of charismatic lead personal power are manifest in their elitist idealized vision, their entrepreneurial advocacy for radical changes and their depth knowledge and expertise. In charismatic leaders all these personal qualities appear extraordinary qualities to followers, and these extraordinary qualities form the basis of both their personal power and their charisma. Although the use of a personal power base helps us to understand the charismatics transformational influence on followers, the leaders' empowerment strategies and the resulting empowering experience of followers are the ingredients critical to the success of the transformational influence process.

Another effect of the leader's formulation and articulation of the vision is to engender in followers a trust in the leader. A follower's trust is earned not only through an inspirational articulation of the vision-although this is a necessary element. It is not developed by statement of the vision, nor by statements of the leader's skill in persuasive and dazzling language, even if they are also essential. When followers believe, their leader is unwaveringly committed to the goal and is prepared to strive for it even at the risk of significant personal expense and sacrifice, they start to trust them. The empowerment of followers (building follower self-efficacy and having trust in the leader) is greatly enhanced when charismatic leaders exercise the expert and referent power basis. The leader's expert power is effective in existing transformational influence because followers perceive their leader to possess the knowledge, abilities, and expertise the followers can draw on and that they see to be necessary for the attainment of vision.

REFERENCES

1. Bass, B. M. (1990), Handbook of Leadership: Theory. Research and Managerial Expectations. New York Free Press.

© MBA Journals 2023. All Rights Reserved

2. Agle, B.R., and Sonnenfeld, J. A. (1954), Charismatic Chief Executive Officers: Are They More Effective? An Empirical Test of Charismatic Leadership Theory. Academy of Management Best Papers Proceedings. PP 2–6.
3. Sonnenfeld JA, Peiperl MA, Kotter JP. Strategic determinants of managerial labor markets: A career systems view. Human Resource Management. 1988 Dec;27(4):369–88.
4. Berger, P. L. (1963), Charisma and Religious Innovation, The Social Location of the Israelite Prophecy. American Sociological Review, 28 PP 940–950.
5. Bennis, W. G., and Nanus, B. (1985), Leaders: The Strategies for Taking Change. Harper & Raw, New York.
6. Sashkin, M. (1988). The Visionary Leader, Charismatic Leadership. The Elusive Factor in Organisational Effectiveness. San Francisco. Jossey-Bass.
7. Byrne, D. (1977). The Attraction Paradigm. Academic Press, New York.
8. Kenny, P.A., and Zacarro, S. J. (1983), An Estimate of Variance due to Traits in Leadership. Journal of Applied Psychology, 68 PP 678–685.
9. Conger, J. A. (1985), Charismatic Leadership in Business: An Exploration Study. Un Published Doctoral Dissertation. School of Business Administration. Harvard University.
10. Dow, T.E. (1969), A Theory of Charisma, Social Quarterly, 10 PP 306–318.
11. French, J.R., Jr and Raven, B. H. (1059), The bases of Social Power. In D. Cartwright (Ed), Studies in Social Power. An Arbor University of Michigan Press. PP 150–167.
12. Friedland, W.H. (1964), For a Sociological Concept of Charisma. Social Forces, 43 PP18–26.
13. House, R. J. (1971), A Path Goal Theory of Leader's Effectiveness. Administrative Science Quarterly, 16 PP 321–339.
14. Panda A, Gupta RK. Understanding organizational culture: A perspective on roles for leaders. Vikalpa. 2001 Oct;26(4):3–20.
15. Marens, J.T. (1961), Transcendence and Charisma. Western Political Social Quarterly, 14 PP 236–241.
16. Yukl, G. (1994), Leadership in Organisations (3rd Ed), Englewood Cliffs, N J Prentice Hall.
17. Rubin, Z. (1973). Liking and Loving: An Invitation to Social Psychology. Holt, Rinchart and Winston. New York.

© MBA Journals 2023. All Rights Reserved